

Two questions

Between [Ronda L Wery](#), [Chris Andrews](#), [Kristi Dunks](#) and [You](#)

[Monica Wesley](#)

July 31 at 8:05am

A couple questions... if I have time I think I'm going to set up a Wordpress blog to accompany our metAcademia website. Chris, I'd like to include the recent blog post of questions left unanswered that you recently posted. Would you allow me to copy that blog entry over to the metaAcademia blog with, of course, attribution? Also I'd like to take a look to see what your posting in the wiki and consider if any of that could be moved to the blog. Would you three be ok with that – again with attribution to you as the writer? If you have time this weekend and want to compose a blog entry, I'd be glad to add that in, too, but it's not necessary.

And secondly, given all of our discussion about sharing, how would you feel if I licensed our website under a Creative Commons copyright license? I was thinking about one that would allow people to reuse any content on the website as long as it's not for commercial purposes and it's attributed back to the author or site, itself. We could exclude blog posts from this. I could see that being one area where we might want to retain tighter control over our work. Let me know what you think. No pressure here to say 'yes' to either of these requests.... really. Despite our tendencies towards social knowing everyone still has free will here... I'm not gonna' be the one to step all over your personal agency :)

[Chris Andrews](#)

July 31 at 2:13pm

Sure, you can use those; attribute away! It definitely has bearing on our project. So, what kind of blog entry are you thinking? I mean, about what?

Creative Commons is a fine choice, as well. So much of the stuff we're depending on (wordle, for example) has a scent of CC about it anyway, it makes sense. Blog posts... up for discussion. I don't particularly care, but if it's going to be used in the future, it's probably safer to not stick those under CC.

Cool beans, Mon.

[Monica Wesley](#)

July 31 at 4:53pm

I think the blog can include entries on anything under the sun related to this idea of Academia 2.0... the tools, student's reactions to it, the difficulties and changing perspectives about it, what's at stake, etc. I think we can even include an option for site visitors to submit their entries to be visiting bloggers.

Thanks for saying "yes," Chris.

[Ronda L Wery](#)

July 31 at 5:43pm

Sorry for not chiming in sooner. I'm all good with all of the above. Creative Commons is the way to go for all but the blog(s), and I like adding the blog element, including and attributing anything you deem worthy in from the wiki, facebook, MOOs--any of it. AWESOMENESS!

Must get home to take a quick break and continue work. Will be down to the wire on the analysis due to my prolonged indecision re: formats, media, etc., but otherwise all is well. The direction is clear.

[Kristi Dunks](#)

July 31 at 5:47pm

I agree. I like your thoughts on this, Monica. I think this is a great way to actually act what we are speaking about.

Just a funny side note- I have been giving presentations at this airshow all week and a guy came up to me after to offer critique. It is the first time I used Prezi for aviators. So, he said, you know I am an old guy and I like to know where things are going in the next frame. All of that jumping around on the screen gave me vertigo. Ha!